

Publication Reference **EA-3**/12 **M**: 2022

EA Policy for the Accreditation of Organic Production Certification

PURPOSE

This document outlines the EA policy for application of ISO/IEC 17011 when processing accreditation to control bodies in the field of organic production according to Regulation (EU) 2018/848.

Authorship

The publication has been written by a task force group of the EA Certification Committee in cooperation with the scheme owner, the organic farming unit of DG Agriculture and Rural Development.

Official language

The text may be translated into other languages as required. The English language version remains the definitive version.

Copyright

The copyright of this text is held by EA. The text may not be copied for resale.

Further information

For further information about this publication, contact your national member of EA or the EA secretariat: secretariat@european-accreditation.org

Please check our website for up-to-date information: http://www.european-accreditation.org

Category: Members' Procedural Documents. EA-3/12 is a mandatory

document.

Date of Approval: 3rd March 2022

Date of implementation: 9th March 2023 (publication date +1 year)

Transition period: Period of time between the approval and implementation

dates.

Between 01/01/2022 and 01/01/2023, certificates against the regulation (EC) No 834/2007 are still valid until the next control performed against the regulation (EU) No 2018/848. Nevertheless, all controls in EU shall be performed against the regulation (EU) No 2018/848 starting from 01/01/2022. For this reason, the accreditation for the regulation (EU) No 834/2007 is still valid for certificates issued before 01/01/2021

and will expire on 31/12/2022.

Concerning third countries, the regulation (EC) No 1235/2008 is replaced by the regulations (EU) 2021/1697 and 1698 for purpose of compliance and by the regulation (EU) 2021/1342

for purpose of equivalency (see clause 4.9).

CONTENTS

1	DEFINITIONS AND ABBREVIATIONS	4
1.1	DEFINITIONS	4
1.2	ABBREVIATIONS	4
2	TECHNICAL ASSESSORS AND EXPERTS QUALIFICATIONS REQUIREMENTS	4
3	REQUIREMENTS FOR ACCREDITATION PROCESS FOR CONTROL BODIES OPERATING IN THE EUROPEAN UNION	5
3.1	REFERENCES	5
3.2	CB'S APPLICATION FOR ACCREDITATION	5
3.3	SCOPE OF ACCREDITATION	6
3.4	ASSESSMENT PROGRAM	6
3.5	ASSESSMENTS OF SITES	7
3.6	DURATION OF ONSITE ASSESSMENTS	7
3.7	WITNESS AUDITS	8
3.8	EXTENDING ACCREDITATION	9
3.9	INFORMATION EXCHANGE BETWEEN NAB, MEMBER STATE'S CA AND COM	9
4	ACCREDITATION PROCESS FOR CB OPERATING IN THIRD COUNTRIES (TC)	10
4.1	REFERENCES	10
4.2	CB'S APPLICATION FOR ACCREDITATION	10
4.3	SCOPE OF ACCREDITATION	10
4.4	ASSESSMENT PROGRAM	10
4.5	ASSESSMENTS OF SITES	11
4.6	DURATION OF ONSITE ASSESSMENTS	11
4.7	WITNESS AUDITS	12
4.8	EXTENDING ACCREDITATION TO SPECIFIC AREAS OF ACTIVITY	14
4.9	INFORMATION EXCHANGED BETWEEN THE NAB AND COM	15
4.10	SUSPENDING, WITHDRAWING OR REDUCING ACCREDITATION	15
TABI	LE 1 SCOPE CONCERNING ORGANIC CERTIFICATIONS IN THE EUROPEAN UNION	16
TABI	LE 2 SCOPE CONCERNING ORGANIC CERTIFICATIONS IN THIRD COUNTRIES	17

9th March 2022_rev02 Page 3 of 17

1 DEFINITIONS AND ABBREVIATIONS

1.1 Definitions

Terms and definitions given in Regulation (EU) 2018/848 and ISO/IEC 17011: 2017 apply.

<u>Control body</u>: body as defined in point (56) of article 3 of Regulation (EU) 2018/848, in charge of performing conformity assessment services, object of this accreditation (the certification body as per definition of ISO/IEC 17000 and ISO/IEC 17065).

<u>Site:</u> location where the control body accredited takes decisions on certification (as defined by §7.6 of ISO/IEC 17065)

<u>Critical findings</u>: findings that compromise the reliability of the results of certification or ability of the control body to maintain the quality level of the certification services.

<u>Inspection:</u> Official Control tasks as referred to in Regulation (EU) 2017/625, Article 14, (b), performed in accordance with the applicable requirements of ISO/IEC 17020

1.2 Abbreviations

OF: Organic Farming (generally symbolizing the area of certification, synonymous to

Organic Production)

CB: Control Body

NAB: National Accreditation Body

CA: Competent Authority

COM: European Commission represented by DG AGRI

EU: European Union

TC: Third Countries outside EU

WA: Witness Audit performed by NAB

ICS: Internal Control System COI: Certificate of Inspection

OFIS: Organic Farming Information System MS: Member State of European Union

2 TECHNICAL ASSESSORS AND EXPERTS QUALIFICATIONS REQUIREMENTS

This section specifies the competence criteria for selecting, training and formally approving assessors and experts, required for the scope "Organic Production" in relation to clause 6.1.2 and table A.1 of ISO/IEC 17011.

Technical assessors and experts of NAB shall have a degree in a discipline related to the scope of accreditation (e.g. agronomist, food scientist). They shall have at least two years of working experience in the organic sector. In the exceptional case of assessors without an academic degree, a related profession in the food or agricultural sector is required including at least 5 years of professional experience within organic sector. Such experience can include scientific work, consultancy, production/operation, certification/inspection activities alike.

9th March 2022_rev02 Page 4 of 17

Assessors and experts of NAB shall have adequate knowledge of the requirements and practical implementation of the EU Regulation on Organic Production.

For the purpose of witnessing, assessors and experts shall have evident knowledge and/or experience in relation to the Regulation (EU) 2018/848 and the relevant delegated acts.

Additionally, for assessments and activities outside the EU, technical assessors and experts shall have adequate knowledge of Codex Alimentarius guidelines CAC/GL 32, and a proven track record of TC experience within the organic sector.

The initial and on-going training for assessors and experts shall cover the specific application of quality management systems according to ISO/IEC 17065 in a CB certifying products from organic production and shall permit exchange of accreditation practices, including for examples, group of operators, mass balance, traceability, etc. for the scope of organic production.

3 REQUIREMENTS FOR ACCREDITATION PROCESS FOR CONTROL BODIES OPERATING IN THE EUROPEAN UNION

3.1 References

When assessing CB's operating in the EU, NABs shall consider the following documents:

- Regulation (EU) 2018/848 of the European Parliament and of the council of 30 May 2018 on organic production and labelling of organic products and repealing Council Regulation (EC) No 834/2007;
- And its associated delegated and implementing acts related with Regulation (EU) 2018/848, and subsequent amendments;
- Regulation (EU) 2017/625 on official controls and other official activities performed to ensure the application of food and feed law, rules on animal health and welfare, plant health and plant protection products, [links with Regulation (EU) 2018/848 explained in chapter VI of Regulation (EU) 2018/848];
- Other applicable documentation published by the European Commission regarding Regulation (EU) 2018/848.

3.2 CB's application for accreditation

NAB shall require CBs to submit as a minimum:

- a) a description of CB's organization;
- b) the complete list of sites, indicating for every site the certification activities carried out and countries covered;
- c) the standard control procedures [see art. 40.1.a.ii) of Regulation (EU) 2018/848] applied for all activities concerned by the application;
- d) an overview indicating the responsibilities of staff;
- e) list of qualified inspectors per product category;
- f) list of reviewers and decision makers per product category.

9th March 2022_rev02 Page 5 of 17

The following documents shall be available on site and submitted to the NAB on request:

- a) a copy of the most recent internal audit report, the CB's internal audit program and the latest management review;
- b) curricula and supporting evidence of all technical staff members and inspectors;
- c) declarations of absence of conflicts of interest for staff and inspectors;
- d) continuous training log, indicating precisely for each staff member and inspector the nature of the training, including dates, duration, attestations of successfully completed training.

3.3 Scope of accreditation

The accreditation scope shall be defined by the product categories as defined in Article 35 (7) of Regulation (EU) 2018/848 (see example of accreditation scope in table 1 of annex of this document).

If the CA requires a specific national scoping, including a list of activities of operators (see Annex VI box 4 of Regulation (EU) 2018/848), the accreditation scope shall clearly give the link with the product categories listed in Article 35.7 of Regulation (EU) 2018/848.

Concerning the newly added category of product (clause g) of article 35.7 of Regulation (EU) 2018/848, the scope of accreditation shall specifically include each of the products indicated in Annex I and covered by accreditation or treated as flexible scope in conformity with the document EA-2/15.

If applicable, certification of group of operators shall be explicitly and unambiguously listed on the accreditation scope.

3.4 Assessment program

For the first application for accreditation for organic farming (initial or extension), the NAB shall not grant accreditation before having performed the following assessments:

- a) an on-site assessment of the registered legal entity of the CB, (often the head office of the CB):
- b) an on-site assessment in each site of the CB, if applicable;
- c) at least one witness assessment, as defined in clause 3.7 below.

Before performing assessments, the NAB shall examine by document review the set of documents listed in clause 3.2.

Concerning the surveillance of accreditation, the NAB shall conduct annual surveillance assessments during the accreditation cycle, shall assess a sample of sites and perform witness audits as defined respectively in clause 3.5 and clause 3.7 below.

For the purpose of reassessment (re-accreditation), the NAB shall not renew accreditation before having performed the following assessments:

- a) an onsite assessment of the registered legal entity, (often the head office of the CB);
- b) an onsite assessment in sampled sites as defined in clause 3.5;
- c) at least witness audits as defined in clause 3.7.

9th March 2022_rev02 Page 6 of 17

3.5 Assessments of sites

The NAB shall calculate the number of sites to be assessed, based on risk analysis with, at least, the factors below:

- a) the experience gained by the site for certification activities under accreditation;
- b) the previous performance of the site;
- c) the number of countries covered by the site;
- d) irregularities registered in OFIS data base and transmitted by CA;
- e) the number of certificates managed by the site.

This sample of sites shall be increased if the NAB is informed of suspicions of fraudulent activities by CB.

3.6 Duration of onsite assessments

For the first assessment for OF (initial or extension) and reassessment of the legally registered entity of a CB operating exclusively in MS, the NAB shall foresee the minimum number of days (d) for the team for an on-site assessment (head office and other sites defined in clauses 3.4 and 3.5).

Table A below permits to calculate a risk score per CB. Table B below shows the minimum duration for each assessment, based on the given risk score (result of table A) and the minimum number of operator files to check.

		Risk Level		
	Low (score =1)	Medium (score=2)	High (score=3)	Score
Presence of a Critical Finding at the previous assessment	no	/	yes	
Group Certification	no	/	yes	
Number of Sites	1	2 - 5	>5	
Number of Product Categories	1 - 2	3 - 4	>4	
Number of Members States covered	1 - 2	3 – 4	>4	
Number of operators certified	<1000	1001 - 6000	>6000	
			Total Risk score	

Table A - Risk score calculation for onsite assessment (for EU MS)

Relating to experience of NAB in the sector:

- the time to check one operator file is on average 0,25 days (d);
- the time to check the organization of a CB, regarding clauses 4, 5, 6.2.2 and 8 of ISO/IEC 17065, is on average 2d for a CB assessed only for OF.

9th March 2022_rev02 Page 7 of 17

Table B - Minimum duration for assessment (for EU MS)

Days (d) Calculation			
Total Risk Score, result of table A above	6-9	10-15	16-18
Number of operator files to check (A)		6	8
Total duration for only OF scheme = (A)x0,25d + 2d		3.5	4
Total duration for OF if other schemes applied = $(A)x0,25d+1d$	2	2.5	3

Preparation and reporting times shall be added to the total duration calculated above. In case of combination with another certification scheme, the duration resulting from table B is added to the duration calculated for the other scheme.

The minimum duration of a surveillance assessment and the minimum of files to be checked shall be at least 50% of the minimum calculated using tables A and B.

The minimum duration of an on-site assessment of one site shall never be less than half a day, which is to be added to on-site assessment duration, as defined in tables A and B.

3.7 Witness audits

3.7.1 Number of witness audits (WA)

For the first application for OF accreditation (initial or extension) the NAB shall perform at least,

- a) one WA per product category (7 listed on art. 35(7) of Regulation (EU) 2018/848);
- b) and one WA of a certification of a group of operators, if the CB provides that service.

In exceptional cases, the WA can be postponed as condition to accreditation if business activities inevitably relate to recognition by the national CA. If more than one MS is covered by the CBs activity, these need to be considered within the witnessing schedule.

A single witness audit may encompass different product categories if the activities of the witnessed operator and of the CB justify it.

The WA shall cover the whole activity under witness.

During 5 years, the NAB shall witness at least,

- a) one WA per product category (7 listed on art. 35(7) of Regulation (EU) 2018/848), not considering the number of WA conducted for the first application, and
- b) one WA of a group of operators if CB certifies groups of operators, and
- c) an additional number of WA determined by risk analysis at least based on the following factors:
 - the number of inspectors;
 - o the number of operators controlled;
 - o the type of activities performed by the operators;
 - o the number of WA performed by CA;
 - o the irregularities concerning the CB;
 - o the number of certified producer groups and the size of them;
 - the critical findings for either the CB or the specific inspector(s);

9th March 2022_rev02 Page 8 of 17

o the application of recognition for a new MS.

For selecting inspections/control visits to be witnessed, see the clause 3.7.2 below.

3.7.2 Criteria for the selection of inspectors and operators to be witnessed

The NAB shall select the witnessed inspectors and operators on its own, ensuring that witnessed assessments are performed with operators with a higher risk for deviations of organic production requirements. To establish which operators could present a higher risk for deviations, the NAB will consider the factors below:

- a) the complexity of activities performed by the operators;
- b) in particular traders or intermediates for exports or imports;
- c) the size of group of operators;
- d) the list of high risk products, extracted from OFIS database or other information like speculative supply chain, etc.;
- e) the list of high risk countries, (according to article 8 of Regulation (EU) 2021/1698);
- f) the volume of products certified for a given operator;
- g) the derogations granted by the CB (e.g.: retroactive recognition of conversion);
- h) the irregularities concerning the CB;
- i) the WA performed by the CA;
- j) the result of previous WAs.

Repeated witnessing of the same operator/inspector should be avoided, unless there are significant risks or specific indications for this operator or inspector.

Where repeated WAs occur because of a limited number of certified operators or availability of inspectors, the NAB report shall document this fact.

The NAB shall consider previous results on WAs to establish its witness strategy.

3.8 Extending accreditation

If the CB applies for accreditation of a new product category, the NAB shall at least perform a document review of the documents listed in clause 3.2 and a WA for the given category.

If the CB applies for accreditation of a new site, the NAB shall perform a document review to determine if the site shall be assessed on site, based on risk analysis defined in clause 3.5 and if a WA is necessary in regard to clause 3.7.

3.9 Information Exchange between NAB, Member State's CA and COM

The COM services as scheme owner and a MS's CA as delegating authority may provide the NAB specific inputs for the assessment of CBs. The NAB shall consider surveillance results provided by CA. The NAB report shall indicate whether the corrective measures requested during the previous assessment of CA where implemented in a timely manner.

If the NAB decides to suspend or withdraw the accreditation of a CB operating in a member state, the NAB shall inform the relevant CA in a timely manner.

9th March 2022_rev02 Page 9 of 17

4 ACCREDITATION PROCESS FOR CB OPERATING IN THIRD COUNTRIES (TC)

4.1 References

When assessing CBs operating in third countries, the NAB shall consider, at least, the following documents:

- a) Regulation (EU) 2018/848 of the European Parliament and of the council of 30 May 2018 on organic production and labelling of organic products and repealing Council Regulation (EC) No 834/2007;
- b) Associated delegated and implementing acts related with Regulation (EU) 2018/848, and subsequent amendments, in particular:
 - Regulation (EU) 2021/1697 and 1698 supplementing with procedural requirements for the recognition of control authorities and control bodies that are competent to carry out controls on operators and groups of operators certified organic and on organic products in third countries and with rules on their supervision and the controls
 - Regulation (EU) 2021/1342 supplementing with rules on the information to be sent by TC and by CA and CBs for the purpose of supervision and their recognition under article 33(2) and (3) of Regulation (EC) No 834/2007 for imported organic products and the measures:
- c) Other applicable documentation published by the European Commission regarding Regulation (EU) 2018/848;
- d) Codex Alimentarius GL 32-1999 Guidelines for the Production, Processing, Labelling and Marketing of Organically Produced Foods.

4.2 CB's application for accreditation

Additionally to the documents defined under point 3.2, CBs need to submit at least the following:

- a) a description of their control measures adapted for TC and the standard control procedures implemented for all activities in the relevant TC, or the documents required for the technical dossier (art 46.4 of Regulation (EU) 2018/848) by the COM;
- b) an updated list of countries covered by the application, number of estimated operators including group(s) of operators, if any, per category and per country.

4.3 Scope of accreditation

The accreditation scope shall be defined as in clause 3.3 above (see example of accreditation scope in table 2 of annex of this document).

4.4 Assessment program

The assessment program for accreditation in TC is based on the same requirements defined in clause 3.4. The number of sites assessed shall be replaced by the requirements of clause 4.5 below. The number of WA shall be replaced by the requirements given in clause 4.7 below.

The assessments reports shall contain at least the topics listed in the respective secondary acts of Regulation (EU) 2018/848, in particular Regulation (EU) 2021/1698 (annex I).

9th March 2022_rev02 Page 10 of 17

Clause 3.8 applies as well for TC accreditations. If a CB already accredited for OF within the EU applies for OF in TC, the NAB shall perform a document review to determine the number of onsite assessments and the extra number of WA needed in regards with 4.5 and 4.7 below.

Clause 3.10 applies as well for TC accreditations.

4.5 Assessments of sites

For the first application of recognition, each office where certification decisions are taken shall be assessed on site. The assessment report of these offices shall contain information detailed in annex 1 part A of Regulation (EU) 2021/1698.

For the surveillance of CB, each office where certification decisions are taken shall be assessed on-site annually. This assessment carried out physically and may only be carried out remotely if so decided by the COM.

The annual assessment report of the office(s) where certification decisions shall cover all points listed in Annex II of Regulation (EU) 2021/1698.

4.6 Duration of onsite assessments

The method for calculating the duration of assessment applies as given in clause 3.6, except that the tables A and B are replaced by table C, and D.

These tables cover cases where a CB operates in TC only or in TC and within the EU.

	Risk Level			
	Low (score=1)	Medium (score=2)	High (score=3)	Score
Operators in TC and within the EU	No	/	Yes	
Group Certification	No	/	Yes	
Presence of a critical finding at the previous assessment	No	/	Yes	
Number of Sites	None	1 – 5	>5	
Number of Product Categories	1	2 – 4	>4	
Number of Countries covered	1 – 2	3 – 10	>10	
Number of operators certified	<1000	1001 – 6000	>6000	
			Total Risk score	

Tables C – Risk score calculation for onsite assessment for TC

Relating to experience of NAB in the sector:

- the time to check one operator file is on average 0,5 days (d);
- the time to check the organization of a CB, regarding clauses 4, 5, 6.2.2 and 8 of ISO/IEC 17065, is on average 3d for a CB assessed, only for OF.

9th March 2022_rev02

Table D - Minimum duration for assessment for TC

Days (d) Calculation			
Total Risk Score, result of table C above	7-9	10-13	14-21
Number of operator files to check (A)		6	8
Total duration for only OF scheme = (A)x0,5d + 3d		6	7
Total duration for OF if other schemes applied = $(A)x0,5d+2d$	4	5	6

4.7 Witness audits

4.7.1 Calculation the number of WA

For the first application for OF accreditation (initial or extension), the NAB shall perform at least one WA and shall add one further WA:

- a) for each category of products as set out in Article 35(7) of Regulation (EU) 2018/848 for which the recognition is requested;
- b) for each category of products in a different TC, if the CB requests or is already recognized for more than one TC;

If the CB is certifying group(s) of operators, the CB shall at least cover one of the above listed WAs with a group of operators.

When active in both, MS as well as in TC, these WA shall cover at least one MS and one TC.

The WA shall cover the whole activity under witness, carried out physically and may only be carried out remotely if so decided by the COM.

For the purpose of the annual report, the CB shall ensure that witness audits are carried out in accordance with Sections 1 and 2 of Part B of Annex I of the Regulation (EU) 2021/1698 and the following rules:

- a) the duration period between two (2) WAs shall not exceed four (4) years;
- b) the number of WA carried out for the initial request for recognition shall not be considered for the calculation of the total number of WA to be carried out during the 4 years referred to in point (a) above ,
- c) one additional WA shall be carried out:
 - i) every two (2) years in those TCs, where high-risk products are produced or processed, as referred to within Article 8;
 - ii) for every 10th TC recognised. This additional WA shall be carried out within four(4) years;
- d) more WAs shall be performed at the request of the COM or the NAB, based on a risk analysis of, in particular, the following factors:
 - i) the number of inspectors;
 - ii) the number of operators;
 - iii) the type of activities carried out by the operators;
 - iv) the number of WA carried out by the NAB;
 - v) the irregularities concerning the CB;
 - vi) the number of certified groups of operators and the size of them;
 - vii) the critical findings for the CB or the specific inspector or inspectors;
 - viii) the nature of the products and the risk of fraud;

9th March 2022_rev02 Page 12 of 17

- ix) COM feedback based on the previous annual report of the CB;
- x) suspicions of fraud by operators.
- xi) the volume of products imported from a TC into the EU and the activity of the CB in recognized TC.

For selecting inspections/control visits to be witnessed, see the clause 4.7.2 below.

For information, some examples are given by COM:

If a new CB requests recognition for two (2) product categories in six (6) TC, at least two (2) WAs shall be carried out in two (2) different TCs, including a group of operators (if applicable). In case the CB is already recognized under equivalence, a light dossier applies as regard WA (Annex I, section 3-part B of Regulation (EU) 2021/1698 under following conditions:

- WAs performed are less than 2 years ago
- 2 WA (one for each category) but no obligation to have them in 2 different TC
- o All findings are addressed

If a CB already recognized for equivalency requests recognition for 7 categories in 80 countries with group operators, and in 3 TC where high risk products are produced:

- As 7 categories, 7 WAs are required in 7 different TC within a 4 years period,
- preferably in group of operators,
- then, as 8x10 TC are covered by the CB, 8 WA are to be added within the 4 years period,
- then, as 3 TC with high risk products are covered by the CB, 3 WAs are to be added every 2 years.

In 4 years, the total sum is 21 WA (=7+8+3x2) within a 4 years period.

4.7.2 Criteria for the selection of inspectors and operators to be witnessed

The NAB shall select the inspectors and operators to be witnessed on its own, ensuring that WA are performed with operators with a higher risk for deviations from organic production requirements. To establish which operators could present a higher risk for deviations, the NAB will consider the factors below:

- a) the complexity of activities performed by the operators;
- b) particularly traders or intermediates for exports;
- c) the size of the group of operators;
- d) the list of high risk products, extracted from OFIS database or from guidelines of COM;
- e) the list of high risk countries, extracted from OFIS database or website of corruption (e.g.: Transparency International);
- f) the volume of products certified for a given operator;
- q) the derogations granted by the CB (e.g.: retroactive recognition of conversion);
- h) the irregularities concerning the CB;
- i) the feedbacks of COM following the annual report of the CB;
- j) results of the previous WA, etc.

Repeated witnessing of the same operator/inspector should be avoided, unless there are significant risks or specific indications for this operator or inspector.

Where repeated WA occur because of a limited number of certified operators or availability of inspectors, the NAB report shall document this fact.

9th March 2022_rev02 Page 13 of 17

The NAB shall consider previous results on WAs to establish its witness strategy.

4.8 Extending accreditation to specific areas of activity

Clause 3.8 applies for extensions of scope with the specifications added above for TC accreditations in the current chapter 4.

Additionally, accreditation is required by Regulation (EU) 2018/848 (Art 45.b and 57) according to 4 options of recognitions for CBs providing certifications of organic products, imported into the EU coming from TC, which are:

- a) complied with EU regulation (Compliance) (See art. 45.i and 46 of Regulation (EU) 2018/848);
- b) recognized under a trade agreement (Trade agreement) (See art. 45.ii and 47 of Regulation (EU) 2018/848);
- c) recognized and listed in annex III of Regulation (EC) No 1235/2008 (See art. 45.iii and 48 of Regulation (EU) 2018/848);
- d) controlled by CB recognized in purpose of equivalency, listed in annex IV of regulation (EC) No 1235/2008 (Equivalency) (See art. 57 of Regulation (EU) 2018/848).

4.8.1 Option n°1 (Compliance)

The extension of accreditation is based on on-site assessments, as defined in clause 4.

In conformity with the Regulation (EU) 2021/1698 (annex I, part B, §3), for a CB recognized under Article 33(3) of Regulation (EC) No 834/2007(1) and included in the list established in accordance with Article 57(2) of Regulation (EU) 2018/848, the technical dossier of recognition can take into account the following types of WAs carried out:

- during the last 2 years by their NAB for the purpose of their recognition under Regulation (EC) No 834/2007 for each category of products for which the CB requests recognition in accordance with Article 46 of Regulation (EU) 2018/848; and
- in a TC for which the CB is recognized under Article 33(3) of Regulation (EC) No 834/2007.

However, for each of these WA, the NAB shall confirm that all findings have been fully addressed by the CB.

4.8.2 Option n°2 (Trade agreement)

Accreditation may be requested by the local CA of the TC recognized by the EU under a trade agreement. The NAB shall contact the COM to establish the set of requirements covered by the trade agreement and the contact of the local CA. The local CA may require specific accreditation programs. Where applicable, clause 4 applies by default.

4.8.3 Option n°3 (TC recognized)

That recognition will expire on 31 December 2026 according to article 48 of the regulation (EU) 2018/848.

Accreditation may be requested by the local CA of the TC recognized by the EU under Regulation (EC) No 1235/2008. The NAB shall contact the COM to establish the set of

9th March 2022_rev02 Page 14 of 17

requirements covered by that recognition and the contact of the local CA. The local CA may require specific accreditation programs. Where applicable, clause 4 applies by default.

4.8.4 Option n°4 (Equivalency)

This recognition of CBs will expire on 31st December 2024 according to article 57 of Regulation (EU) 2018/848. During the transition period starting on 01st January 2022, clause 4 of this document is implemented for this case.

Additionally to the requirements defined under point 4.2, the NAB shall not grant accreditation before having assessed the equivalence of the standard applied in TC. The CB shall present a detailed description of its equivalent standard applied in TC to the NAB. The CB shall ensure that those documents are up-to-date and cover all product categories for which the CB is seeking accreditation.

The equivalence assessment by the NAB shall be based on a side by side assessment prepared by the CB and verified by the NAB that demonstrates the equivalence of the production standard for each product category with the regulation (EC) No 1235/2008 and associated acts. The assessment shall include an inventory of the substantial differences between the CB's production standard and control measures and the Regulation (EC) No 889/2008 and associated acts and provide a description of how the differences are resolved, taking into account the Codex Alimentarius Guidelines CAC/GL 32. The assessment shall include a confirmation by the NAB of the equivalence of the production standard and the control measures.

An equivalence table should be used for the side by side assessment for production standard and control measures with the Regulation (EC) No 1235/2008 and associated acts as applied in TC.

4.9 Information exchanged between the NAB and COM

Additionally, to the requirements under point 4.1, the COM Services may give the NAB specific input for the assessment of CBs operating in TC, about irregularities recorded in the OFIS-system. The NAB shall consider surveillance results provided by COM or CA in TC and other NABs, if and when available.

In case an accreditation of a CB operating in TC is suspended or withdrawn, the NAB shall inform the COM services in a timely manner, including the reasons.

4.10 Suspending, withdrawing or reducing accreditation

If a CB has got no client for a given product category during 48 consecutive calendar months, the NAB should suspend the category concerned from the accreditation scope. Reasons not to suspend part of the accreditation scope need to be justified and documented. Such reasons can include positive business outlook (gaining new clients in due course) or specific evidence of substituting competence management despite a lack of clients.

Such a suspension may be lifted after a successful WA on the given category was performed.

Annex (Informative) – Example of accreditation scopes

These tables are examples of template. The form and presentation remain free if all information below is explicit and unambiguous for the public.

Table 1 - Scope concerning organic certifications in the European Union

Products (Regulation (EU) 2018/848, Art 35 (7))	Accreditation scheme vs certification scheme
(a) unprocessed plants and plant products, including seeds and other plant reproductive material;	 Regulation (EU) 2018/848 on organic production and labelling of organic products and its associated delegated and implementing acts; Regulation (EU) 2017/625 on official controls and other official activities performed to ensure the application of food and feed law, rules on animal health and welfare, plant health and plant protection
(b) livestock and unprocessed livestock products;	products, - Other applicable documentation published by the European Commission regarding Regulation (EU) 2018/848.
(c) algae and unprocessed aquaculture products;	+ Applicable specific national legislation
(d) processed agricultural products, including aquaculture products, for use as food;	+ CB Standard control procedures Regulation (EU) 2018/848 on organic production and labelling of organic products and its associated delegated and implementing
(e) feed;	acts;
(f) wine;	 Regulation (EU) 2017/625 on official controls and other official activities performed to ensure the application of food and feed law,
(g) other products listed in Annex I to Regulation (EU) 2018/848 or not covered by the previous categories [flexible scope possible in line with EA- 2/15 and NAB policy]	rules on animal health and welfare, plant health and plant protection products, - Other applicable documentation published by the European Commission regarding Regulation (EU) 2018/848. + Applicable regional or national legislation
Option : Group of operators	+ CB Standard control procedures

9th March 2022_rev02 Page 16 of 17

Table 2 - Scope concerning organic certifications in third countries

Products (Regulation (EU) 2018/848, Art 35 (7))	Accreditation scheme vs certification scheme
 (a) unprocessed plants and plant products, including seeds and other plant reproductive material; (b) livestock and unprocessed livestock products; (c) algae and unprocessed aquaculture products; (d) processed agricultural products, including aquaculture products, for use as food; (e) feed; (f) wine; (g) other products listed in Annex I to Regulation (EU) No 2018/848 or not covered by the previous categories [flexible scope possible in line with EA-2/15 and NAB policy] 	 Regulation (EU) No 2018/848 on organic production and labelling of organic products and its implementation regulations; recognition according to Art 46 and Associated delegated acts and implementing acts Regulation (EU) 2021/1698 with procedural requirements for the recognition of control authorities and control bodies that are competent to carry out controls on operators and groups of operators certified organic and on organic products in third countries and with rules on their supervision and the controls and other actions to be performed by those control authorities and control bodies Other applicable documentation published by the European Commission regarding Regulation (EU) 2018/848; Codex Alimentarius CAC/GL 32 Guidelines for the Production, Processing, Labelling and Marketing of Organically Produced Foods. + CB Standard control procedures List of countries [countries covered can be covered by flexible scope in line with EA-2/15 and NAB policy]
Option : Group of operators	
 (a) unprocessed plants and plant products, including seeds and other plant reproductive material; (b) livestock and unprocessed livestock products; (c) algae and unprocessed aquaculture products; (d) processed agricultural products, including aquaculture products, for use as food; (e) feed; (f) wine; (g) other products listed in Annex I to Regulation (EU) 2018/848 or not covered by the previous categories [flexible scope possible in line with EA-2/15 and NAB policy] 	 Regulation (EU) 2018/848 on organic production and labelling of organic products and its implementation regulations; recognition according to Art 47 and Associated delegated acts and implementing acts Regulation (EU) 2021/1698 with procedural requirements for the recognition of control authorities and control bodies that are competent to carry out controls on operators and groups of operators certified organic and on organic products in third countries and with rules on their supervision and the controls and other actions to be performed by those control authorities and control bodies Other applicable documentation published by the European Commission regarding Regulation (EU) 2018/848; Codex Alimentarius CAC/GL 32 Guidelines for the Production, Processing, Labelling and Marketing of Organically Produced Foods. Applicable national legislation + CB Standard control procedures
Option : Group of operators	

9th March 2022_rev02 Page 17 of 17